

2005-2006 SES EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: [The Learning Station](#)

DISTRICTS SERVED: Gary Community School Corporation

OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 65 (English/Lang. Arts); 65 (Math)

OF STUDENTS COMPLETED: 50 (English/Lang. Arts); 50 (Math)

GRADES: K-12

TYPE OF DELIVERY: Individual tutoring; small group instruction

DESCRIPTION: See <http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/detail-vendor2.cfm?recordID=0059>

STUDENT/TEACHER RATIO: 5/1

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 76.00%

Overall score: 2.57/4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100% (1/1)

District recommends continuation?: Y (1/1 district served)

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 36.36%

Overall Score: 2.38/4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: **B**

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 76.00%

Overall score: 2.0/4.0

DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting:	100% (1/1)
Overall score:	100% (16/16 possible points)

PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting:	36.36%
Overall score:	2.75/4.0

ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	4.0/4.0
--------------------------------------	---------

SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE: **B**

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

COMPLETION RATE:	76.92%
% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS (OF THOSE WHO COMPLETED):	64.0% (E/LA) 72.0% (Math)
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	TLS Assessment
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS (BASED ON 75% SAMPLE REPORTED):	97.22% (E/LA); 100.0% (Math);
AVERAGE GAIN:	+23.2 (E/LA); +35.5 (Math)
% CHANGE IN PRE/POST ASSESSMENT:	+43.04% (E/LA); +74.03% (Math)
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:	78.00%

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

For each provider, the ISTEP+ scale scores for each student who participated in SES were analyzed for 2005 and 2006 in English/Language Arts and Math. Only students who completed 80% of their programs and had ISTEP+ scores for both years were included in the analysis.

**# OF STUDENTS COMPLETING
80% OR MORE SESSIONS:**
(only students completing 80% of
provider sessions are included in this
analysis)

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For the students served by The Learning Station in 2005-2006 who met the criteria described above, ISTEP+ scores grew an average of 42 points for Mathematics and 22 points for English/Language Arts. 80% showed any growth in Mathematics, and 60% showed any growth in English/Language Arts. 80% of the students showed one year's worth of growth on ISTEP+ scale score for Mathematics, compared to 50% for English/Language Arts. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ in Mathematics grew by 40 percentage points, while the percentage passing ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts declined by 30 percentage points.

OF STUDENTS:

10

(of students completing 80% of the sessions, only those having ISTEP+ scores for both 2005 and 2006 were included in this analysis)

CHANGE:

+42.1 (Math)

+22.0 (E/LA)

% SHOWING GROWTH ON ISTEP+ SCALE SCORE:

80% (Math)

60% (E/LA)

% SHOWING 1 YEAR'S GROWTH ON ISTEP+ SCALE SCORE:

80% (Math)

50% (E/LA)

% PASSING ISTEP+ (2005):

50% (Math)

70% (E/LA)

% PASSING ISTEP+ (2006):

90% (Math)

40% (E/LA)

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

MATHEMATICS

Where possible, each student who participated in SES was matched with a similar student who did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2005 ISTEP+ scale score. The chart below provides the results of the match comparison that demonstrates how the ISTEP+ scores and scale score growth of students who participated in SES compare to similar students who did not participate in SES. For The Learning Station, 10 matches out of 10 eligible students (100%) were found for Mathematics. For the group who participated in SES, 80% showed growth on ISTEP+, compared to 90% for the non-SES group. However, 80% of the students who participated in SES showed one year's growth on ISTEP+, compared to 50% of the students who did not participate. The SES group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 42 points, while the non-participating matched group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 19 points.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2006)
SES	10	100%	80%	80%	42	90%
Not SES	10	100%	90%	50%	19	60%

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS

Where possible, each student who participated in SES was matched with a similar student who did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2005 ISTEP+ scale score. The chart below provides the results of the match comparison that demonstrates how the ISTEP+ scores and scale score growth of students who participated in SES compare to similar students who did not participate in SES. For The Learning Station, 10 matches out of 10 eligible students (100%) were found for English/Language Arts. For the group who participated in SES, 60% showed any growth on ISTEP+; a higher percentage of the group that did not participate in SES (70%) showed any growth. 50% of the students in both groups showed one year's worth of growth on ISTEP+. The SES group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 22 points, while the non-participating matched group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 24 points.

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2006)
SES	10	100%	60%	50%	22	40%
Not SES	10	100%	70%	50%	24	70%

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE: B-

OVERALL GRADE: B